EXHIBIT 3

```
I6SJCHE1
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
 3
     CHEVRON CORPORATION,
 4
                     Plaintiff,
                                              11 Civ. 691 LAK JCF
5
                 v.
6
      STEVEN DONZIGER, et al.,
 7
                    Defendants.
 8
9
                                              June 28, 2018
                                              9:30 a.m.
10
11
12
     Before:
13
                           HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,
14
                                              District Judge
15
                                APPEARANCES
16
      GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
17
          Attorneys for plaintiff
      BY: RANDY M. MASTRO, Esq.
          HERBERT J. STERN, Esq.
18
           JOEL SILVERSTEIN, Esq.
19
           ANDREA NEUMAN, Esq.
           ANNE MARIE CHAMPION, Esq.
20
           ALEJANDRO HERRERA, Esq.
           JEFFERSON BELL, Esq.
21
22
      STEVEN ROBERT DONZIGER,
           Appearing pro se
23
24
     Also Present:
           ANDREW R. ROMERO-DELMASTRO, Supv. Counsel Chevron
25
```

Donziger - Direct

- what he recalls and understood happened at the November 6, 2017
 meeting that you and Ms. Sullivan had with him and one of his
 colleagues.
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So is there anything about Mr. Grinberg's testimony about what he recalled and understood happened at that meeting with which you disagree? Yes or no.
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Sir, was Mr. Grinberg's testimony accurate as to what he said you described as the opportunity for Elliott at that meeting?
 - A. I -- my testimony is that what he put in his affidavit and what he testified today with regard to those events was accurate, although not complete.
 - Q. Now, Mr. Donziger, I'd like to ask you a few follow-up questions. Am I correct that since March 2014, you have raised money to support the enforcement efforts of the Ecuadorian judgment by selling interests in the judgment?
 - A. I have helped my clients in Ecuador sell interests in the judgment to raise funds to pay litigation expenses since that day, yes.
 - Q. Thank you, sir. Am I also correct that, out of the funds you have raised by selling interests in the judgment, you have paid yourself since March 2014, correct?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Donziger - Direct

- Q. Am I correct, sir, that you have sold interests in the judgment, since March 2014, to multiple investors?

 MR. DONZIGER: Objection.
- Q. Yes or no.
- THE COURT: Yes or no.
- A. Well, there's a presumption in the question that's not accurate. Can I explain?
 - Q. No. I'll rephrase the question, sir.

In selling interests in the judgment, am I correct that you have sold interests in the judgment to multiple investors since March 2014?

- 12 A. First of all, I am not selling interests in the judgment.
- 13 I am arranging for my clients to sell their interests in the
- 14 | judgment. But the rest of your question, have there been
- 15 | multiple investors, the answer is yes.
- 16 Q. So the record is clear, you have sold on behalf of your
- 17 clients interests in the Ecuadorian judgment to multiple
- 18 | investors since March 2014, correct?
- 19 MR. DONZIGER: Objection.
- 20 | THE COURT: I think that's been asked and answered.
- 21 Q. How many investors have you arranged to sell an interest in
- 22 | the judgment on behalf of your client or clients since March
- 23 | 2014?
- 24 A. To the best of my recollection, approximately six.
- 25 | Q. Am I correct, sir, that you have raised millions of dollars

I6SJCHE3

understand that.

Neither side has attempted to address whether any of this is impacted by the terms of Mr. Donziger's retention agreement, by the inter-creditor agreement, by investment agreements that were in place at relevant times. The bottom line, maybe you haven't addressed it because you thought about it and you don't think it has any impact, but I just wondered about that now.

If anybody thinks any further submissions about that might be useful, you are to write me a letter within 10 days as to what and why, and the letter is no more than two pages, and it is double-spaced, and then I will see whether I think any further submission will be useful, okay?

MR. MASTRO: Yes, your Honor, we will definitely do that. I just wanted to point out that Mr. Donziger did testify that he has a new retention agreement as of 2016-17 with the Frente, and he has not produced that to us. We are prepared to do this analysis, but it would be even more constructive if he actually produced his --

THE COURT: Why shouldn't that be produced?

MR. DONZIGER: It is, and I have it right here. I will produce it right now. I am not going to argue against Mr. Mastro. As a lawyer and officer of the court, I will direct this to you directly. This is a contempt hearing. I don't want to be in contempt of the court. I am not at this point

I6SJCHE3

arranging any more financing, and I can't until I get clarity, some sort of clarification from your Honor what is, in your view, permissible or not permissible. I want to be clear about that because --

THE COURT: Look, I appreciate that, but you seriously need to consider whether, even if you are right as to what happened in days of yore, whatever that argument has any traction at all from the minute the judgment was entered against all your clients, including the Frente, which I won't prejudge it, but it certainly is a different set of facts. I am not going to give you a declaratory judgment.

MR. DONZIGER: On that point, you're referring to the default judgment?

THE COURT: Yes, sure.

MR. DONZIGER: Just to be clear, I testified in my deposition that I thought that it did not change the landscape in terms of fund raising. I, upon further reflection, realized that that is a mistake and not my position. I didn't quite understand the situation when I said that. To the extent you read my deposition or they raise it, I want to be clear about that.

THE COURT: I am nodding not to evidence agreement, but to acknowledge the fact you have said something.

MR. DONZIGER: Thank you.

THE COURT: I think everybody will have to think about